14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

ALEXANDER PAGE,

Plaintiff,

v.

CURTIS WRIGHT, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C08-5215 BHS/KLS

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

NOTED FOR: September 12, 2008

This civil rights action has been referred to the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local MJR 3 and 4. Plaintiff has been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (Dkt. # 6). Prior to service of Plaintiff's complaint, the undersigned ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint and warned the Plaintiff that the Court would recommend dismissal of his case for failure to prosecute if the amended complaint was not filed on or before August 1, 2008. (Dkt. # 18). Plaintiff has failed to respond to this Court's Order. The undersigned recommends that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

I. DISCUSSION

Plaintiff was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on May 5, 2008. (Dkt. # 6). On the same day, the Court directed Plaintiff to submit service copies and service forms for service of the Complaint on Defendants by the U.S. Marshal. (Dkt. # 8). On June 16, 2008, Plaintiff filed a motion to amend (Dkt. # 15) in this case and "amended consolidated" civil rights complaint, in which he purported to consolidate this action with his civil rights complaint filed in Case No. C08-

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1

5257BHS. (Dkt. # 16). The Court granted Plaintiff leave to amend to plead the causes of action he originally purported to bring in Case No. C08-5257BHS and ordered that Case No. 08-5257BHS should be administratively closed based on Plaintiff's representation that he no longer wished to pursue that case. (Dkt. # 18).¹

However, as the proposed "amended consolidated complaint" filed by Plaintiff suffered from a number of deficiencies, the Court explained to Plaintiff that it would not order service of the complaint until Plaintiff cured the deficiencies by filing an amended complaint. *Id.* The Court advised Plaintiff as to the various deficiencies in his complaint and allowed him additional time, until August 1, 2008, to submit an amended complaint. *Id.* To date, Plaintiff has not complied with the Court's Order, nor has he sought additional time to comply with the Court's Order.

II. CONCLUSION

Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint as directed, nor has he requested additional time. Therefore, the undersigned recommends this case be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The Court recommends the action be **dismissed without prejudice.** A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file written objections. *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the Clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on **September 12, 2008**, as noted in the caption.

DATED this 21st day of August, 2008.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge

¹As Plaintiff never paid the filing fee or submitted a completed *in forma pauperis* application in Case No. 08-5257BHS, there was no ongoing case to "consolidate" with this action.